

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/092,306	FUJIYAMA, HIROYUKI
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Bao Q Truong	2187	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowable

(1) Bao Q Truong. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) J. Randall Beckers (Reg. No. 30,358). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Claim 11 recites the limitation "said bus-arbitration control circuit" on line 11 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The applicant allows Examiner's Amendment to overcome this issue.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)